

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/451,256	11/29/1999	STEVEN R. HOLLASCH	MSI-448US	8802
22801	7590 10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
LEE & HAYES PLLC			AMINI, JAVID A	
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE. WA 99201		0 ³ .	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
or order ve,		v-1	2672	9
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/451,256	HOLLASCH, STEVEN R.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
, 	Javid A Amini	2672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) \(\sum \) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:	ion(a):					
 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 24-26, 31-33, 40, 43-49 are allowed.						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23,27-30,34-39 and 50-56</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:	MICHAEL RAZAVINER MICHAEL RAZAVINER	Javid A Amini Examiner Art Unit: 2672				

٠ ، د

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the 35 USC 112-second paragraph: claims 23, 34 and 41-42. Applicant fails to specify or pointing out explicitly the meaning/conditions/connections of the terms "wherein non of said polygons share a vertex" used in claims 23 and 34. Applicant fails to specify explicitly the differences between "a computer graphi processing system", "one or more computer-readable media" and "a programmable computer" in claims 41 and 42. Applicant arguments about claims 1-15 are not persuasive. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 16-23 are not persuasive. The reference Laferriere on col. 2, lines 19-20, teaches rendering of objects represented by polygon meshes can be performed by scan line or ray tracing. Applicant's arguments regarding claims 27-30 and 34-36 are not persuasive. Claims 38-39, 41-42 and 50-56 are maintained the pervious rejection...